arXiv:1411.3478v1 [math.CV] 13 Nov 2014

On a space of entire functions rapidly decreasing on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and its Fourier transformation

I. Kh. Musin[1], M. I. Musin[2]

Institute of Mathematics with Computer Centre of Ufa Scientific Centre of Russian Academy of Sciences,
Chernyshevsky str., 112, Ufa, 450077, Russia;
Bashkirian state University, Department of Mathematics and Computer technologies,
Z. Validy str., 32, Ufa, 450000, Russia

Abstract. A space of entire functions of several complex variables rapidly decreasing on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and such that their growth along $i\mathbb{R}^n$ is majorized with a help of a family of weight functions is considered in the paper. For this space an equivalent description in terms of estimates on all partial derivatives of functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and Paley-Wiener type theorem are obtained.

MSC: 32A15, 42B10, 46E10, 46F05, 42A38

Keywords: Gelfand-Shilov spaces, Fourier transform, entire functions, convex functions

1 Introduction

1.1. On the problem. Let $\Phi = \{\varphi_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ be a family of nondecreasing continuous functions $\varphi_m : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$:

i_1). $\lim\limits_{x\to+\infty} \dfrac{\varphi_m(x)}{x} = +\infty$;

i_2). for each $A > 0$ there exists a constant $C(m, A) > 0$ such that

$$\varphi_m(x) + A\ln(1 + x) \le \varphi_{m+1}(x) + C(m, A),\ x \ge 0.$$

[1]E-mail: musin@matem.anrb.ru
[2]E-mail: marat402@gmail.com

Let $H(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be the space of entire functions on $\mathbb{C}^n$, $\|u\|$ be the Euclidean norm of $u \in \mathbb{R}^n(\mathbb{C}^n)$. For each $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ define the Banach space

$$E_k(\varphi_\nu) = \{f \in H(\mathbb{C}^n) : p_{\nu,k}(f) = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}^n} \frac{|f(z)|(1+\|z\|)^k}{e^{\varphi_\nu(\|Im\ z\|)}} < \infty\}.$$

Let $E(\varphi_\nu) = \bigcap\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} E_k(\varphi_\nu)$, $E(\Phi) = \bigcup\limits_{\nu=1}^{\infty} E(\varphi_\nu)$. With usual operations of addition and multiplication by complex numbers $E(\varphi_\nu)$ and $E(\Phi)$ are linear spaces. Since $p_{\nu,k}(f) \le p_{\nu,k+1}(f)$ for $f \in E_{k+1}(\varphi_\nu)$, then $E_{k+1}(\varphi_\nu)$ is continuously embedded in $E_k(\varphi_\nu)$. Endow $E(\varphi_\nu)$ with a projective limit topology of spaces $E_k(\varphi_\nu)$. Note that if $f \in E(\varphi_\nu)$ then $p_{\nu+1,k}(f) \le e^{C(\nu,1)}p_{\nu,k}(f)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. This means that $E(\varphi_\nu)$ is continuously embedded in $E(\varphi_{\nu+1})$ for each $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. Supply $E(\Phi)$ with a topology of an inductive limit of spaces $E(\varphi_\nu)$.

Note that if functions φ_ν are defined by the formula $\varphi_\nu(x) = \Omega(\nu x)$ $(\nu \in \mathbb{N})$ where Ω be differentiable functions on $[0,\infty)$ such that $M(0) = \Omega(0) = M'(0) = \Omega'(0) = 0$ and their derivatives are continuous, increasing and tending to infinity then $E(\Phi)$ is Gelfand-Shilov space W^Ω [5], [6], [1], [2], [3], [4].

In the paper we describe the space $E(\Phi)$ in terms of estimates on partial derivatives of functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and study Fourier transformation of functions of $E(\Phi)$ under rather weak additional conditions on Φ.

1.2. Some notations and definitions. For $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ $(\mathbb{C}^n)$, $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ $(\mathbb{C}^n)$ $\langle u, v\rangle = u_1v_1 + \dots + u_nv_n$.

For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$, $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$, $z^\alpha = z_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots z_n^{\alpha_n}$, $D^\alpha = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}$.

For multi-indices $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ the notation $\beta \le \alpha$ indicates that $\beta_j \le \alpha_j$ $(j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$.

For multi-indices $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ such that $\beta \le \alpha$ let $C_\alpha^\beta = \prod\limits_{j=1}^{n} C_{\alpha_j}^{\beta_j}$, where $C_{\alpha_j}^{\beta_j}$ are the combinatorial numbers.

By $s_n(1)$ denote the surface area of the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

For a function $u : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ let $u[e](x) := u(e^x)$, $x \ge 0$.

For brevity we put $\psi_m := \varphi_m[e]$ $(m \in \mathbb{N})$.

Denote by $\mathcal{B}$ the set of all real-valued functions $g \in C[0,\infty)$ such that $\lim\limits_{x\to+\infty} \frac{g(x)}{x} = +\infty$.

Let $V = \{h \in \mathcal{B} : h \text{ is convex on } [0,\infty)\}$, $\mathcal{V} = \{h \in V : h \text{ is increasing on } [0,\infty) \text{ with } h(0) = 0\}$.

For $g \in V$ let $V_g = \{h \in \mathcal{V} : h \text{ coincides with } g \text{ on } [d_h,\infty), \text{ where } d_h \text{ is some positive number depending on } h\}$.

For $g \in \mathcal{B}$ let g^* be its the Young conjugate: $g^*(x) = \sup\limits_{y\geq 0}(xy - g(y))$, $x \geq 0$. Recall that by the inversion formula for Young transform [10] if $g \in \mathcal{V}$ then $(g^*)^* = g$.

1.3. Main results. Let $\Psi^* = \{\psi_\nu^*\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$. For each $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ let

$$\mathcal{E}_m(\psi_\nu^*) = \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) : \mathcal{R}_{m,\nu}(f) = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n,\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n} \frac{(1+\|x\|)^m |(D^\alpha f)(x)|}{\alpha! e^{-\psi_\nu^*(|\alpha|)}} < \infty\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{E}(\psi_\nu^*) = \bigcap\limits_{m=0}^\infty \mathcal{E}_m(\psi_\nu^*)$, $\mathcal{E}(\Psi^*) = \bigcup\limits_{\nu=1}^\infty \mathcal{E}(\psi_\nu^*)$.

The first two theorems (proved in section 3) are aimed to characterize functions of the space $E(\Phi)$ in terms of estimates of their partial derivatives on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem 1. *Let the family Φ satisfies the additional condition*

i_3). *for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a constant $a_m > 0$ such that*

$$\varphi_m(2x) \leq \varphi_{m+1}(x) + a_m,\ x \geq 0.$$

Then for each $f \in E(\Phi)$ its restriction $f_{|\mathbb{R}^n}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}(\Psi^*)$.

Theorem 2. *Let the family Φ satisfies the additional condition*

i_4). *for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist constants $\sigma_m > 1$ and $\gamma_m > 0$ such that*

$$\varphi_m(\sigma_m x) \leq \varphi_{m+1}(x) + \gamma_m,\ x \geq 0.$$

Then each function $f \in \mathcal{E}(\Psi^*)$ admits (the unique) extension to entire function belonging to $E(\Phi)$.

The proofs of these theorems follows the standard schemes. Also they allow to obtain an additional information on a structure of the space $E(\Phi)$. Namely, for each $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\mathcal{H}(\varphi_\nu)$ be a projective limit of spaces

$$\mathcal{H}_k(\varphi_\nu) = \{f \in H(\mathbb{C}^n) : \mathcal{N}_{\nu,k}(f) = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}^n} \frac{|f(z)|(1+\|z\|)^k}{e^{(\psi_\nu^*)^*(\ln(1+\|Imz\|))}} < \infty\},\ k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Define the space $\mathcal{H}(\Phi)$ as an inductive limit of spaces $\mathcal{H}(\varphi_\nu)$. In section 3 we show that if the family Φ satisfies the condition i_3) then $E(\Phi) = \mathcal{H}(\Phi)$ (see Proposition 2).

For each $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ define the normed space

$$G_m(\psi_\nu^*) = \{f \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|f\|_{m,\psi_\nu^*} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n,|\alpha|\leq m,\beta\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n} \frac{|x^\beta (D^\alpha f)(x)|}{|\beta|! e^{-\psi_\nu^*(|\beta|)}} < \infty\}.$$

Let $G(\psi_\nu^*) = \bigcap\limits_{m=0}^{\infty} G_m(\psi_\nu^*)$, $G(\Psi^*) = \bigcup\limits_{\nu=1}^{\infty} G(\psi_\nu^*)$. With usual operations of addition and multiplication by complex numbers $G(\psi_\nu^*)$ and $G(\Psi^*)$ are linear spaces. Endow $G(\psi_\nu^*)$ with a topology defined by the family of norms $\|\cdot\|_{m,\psi_\nu^*}$ $(m \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$. Supply $G(\Psi^*)$ with a topology of an inductive limit of spaces $G(\psi_\nu^*)$.

For $f \in E(\Phi)$ define its Fourier transform $\hat{f}$ by the formula

$$\hat{f}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\xi) e^{-i\langle x,\xi\rangle}\, d\xi,\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

In section 4 we describe the space $E(\Phi)$ in terms of Fourier transformation under additional conditions on Φ.

Theorem 3. *Let functions of the family Φ satisfy the following conditions:*

i_3). for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a constant $a_m > 0$ such that

$$\varphi_m(2x) \le \varphi_{m+1}(x) + a_m,\ x \ge 0;$$

i_5). for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist numbers $h_m > 1$ and $l_m > 0$ such that

$$2\varphi_m(x) \le \varphi_{m+1}(h_k x) + l_m,\ x \ge 0.$$

Then Fourier transformation $\mathcal{F} : f \in E(\Phi) \to \hat{f}$ establishes an isomorphism of spaces $E(\Phi)$ and $G(\Psi^*)$.

Further, let $\Phi^* = \{\varphi_\nu^*\}_{\nu=1}^{\infty}$. For each $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ define the space

$$GS_m(\varphi_\nu^*) = \{f \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^n) : q_{m,\nu}(f) = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n, |\alpha|\le m} \frac{|D^\alpha f)(x)|}{e^{-\varphi_\nu^*(\|x\|)}} < \infty\}.$$

For each $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ let $GS(\varphi_\nu^*) = \bigcap\limits_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+} GS_m(\varphi_\nu^*)$. Let $GS(\Phi^*) = \bigcup\limits_{\nu\in\mathbb{N}} GS(\varphi_\nu^*)$. Note that for each $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

$$q_{m,\nu}(f) \le q_{m+1,\nu}(f),\ f \in GS_{m+1}(\varphi_\nu^*);$$
$$q_{m,\nu+1}(f) \le e^{C(\nu,1)} q_{m,\nu}(f),\ f \in GS_m(\varphi_\nu^*)).$$

Hence, $GS_{m+1}(\varphi_\nu^*)$ is continuously embedded in $GS_m(\varphi_\nu^*)$. Endow $GS(\varphi_\nu^*)$ with a topology defined by the family of norms $q_{\nu,m}$ $(m \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$. From the last inequality it follows that $GS(\varphi_\nu^*)$ is continuously embedded in $GS(\varphi_{\nu+1}^*)$. Supply $GS(\Phi^*)$ with an inductive limit topology of spaces $GS(\varphi_\nu^*)$.

The main result of the section 5 is the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *Let functions of the family Φ be convex and satisfy the condition i_3) of Theorem 3. Then $G(\Psi^*) = GS(\Phi^*)$.*

Note that if functions φ_m are defined on $[0,\infty)$ by the formula $\varphi_m(x) = \Omega(2^m x)$ then the family Φ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 4.

2 Auxiliary results

In the proofs of Theorems results of the following three lemmas will be used.

Lemma 1. *Let g be a real-valued continuous function on $[0,\infty)$ such that for some positive a and b*

$$g(x) \geq ax - b,\ x \geq 0.$$

Then

$$(g[e])^*(x) \leq x \ln \frac{x}{a} - x + b,\ x > 0.$$

Proof. For all $x > 0$ we have

$$(g[e])^*(x) = \sup_{y>0}(xy - g[e](y)) \leq \sup_{y>0}(xy - ae^y) + b \leq$$

$$\leq \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}}(xy - ae^y) + b = x \ln \frac{x}{a} - x + b.$$

Corollary 1. *If $g \in \mathcal{B}$ then for each $M > 0$ there exists a number $A_M > 0$ such that for all $x > 0$*

$$(g[e])^*(x) \leq x \ln \frac{x}{M} - x + A_M.$$

Remark 1. Note that if $g \in \mathcal{B}$ then by Corollary 1 for each $b > 0$ the series $\sum\limits_{j=0}^{\infty} \dfrac{e^{(g[e])^*(j)}}{b^j j!}$ and $\sum\limits_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n} \dfrac{e^{(g[e])^*(|\alpha|)}}{b^{|\alpha|}|\alpha|!}$ are converging.

Lemma 2. *Let $u, v \in \mathcal{B}$ and there exist numbers $\tau > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$2u(x) \leq v(x+\tau) + C,\ x \geq 0.$$

Then there exists a number $A > 0$ such that

$$v^*(x+y) \leq u^*(x) + u^*(y) + \tau(x+y) + A,\ x, y \geq 0.$$

Proof. For all $x, y, t \in [0,\infty)$ we have

$$u^*(x) + u^*(y) \geq (x+y)t - 2u(t).$$

Using the assumption of lemma we get

$$u^*(x) + u^*(y) \geq (x+y)(t+\tau) - v(t+\tau) - C - \tau(x+y).$$

Hence,

$$u^*(x) + u^*(y) \geq \sup_{\xi \geq \tau}((x+y)\xi - v(\xi)) - C - \tau(x+y). \tag{1}$$

Further,

$$\sup_{0 \leq \xi < \tau}((x+y)\xi - v(\xi)) \leq (x+y)\tau - \inf_{0 \leq \xi < \tau} v(\xi) \leq (x+y)\tau - \inf_{\xi \geq 0} v(\xi).$$

Since for each $g \in \mathcal{B}$ we have that $g^*(t) \geq -\inf_{\xi \geq 0} g(\xi)$ for all $t \geq 0$ then

$$\sup_{0 \leq \xi < \tau}((x+y)\xi - v(\xi)) \leq (x+y)\tau + u^*(x) + u^*(y) + 2\inf_{\xi \geq 0} u(\xi) - \inf_{\xi \geq 0} v(\xi).$$

From this and inequality (1) we obtain that for all $x, y \geq 0$

$$v^*(x+y) \leq u^*(x) + u^*(y) + \tau(x+y) + A,$$

where $A = \max(C, 2\inf_{\xi \geq 0} u(\xi) - \inf_{\xi \geq 0} v(\xi))$. The proof is complete.

Lemma 3. *Let real-valued continuous functions $u, v \in C[0, \infty)$ satisfy the following conditions:*

1. $\lim_{x \to +\infty} \frac{u[e](x)}{x} = \lim_{x \to +\infty} \frac{v[e](x)}{x} = +\infty$;
2. *there are constants $\sigma > 1$ and $\gamma > 0$ such that*

$$u(\sigma x) \leq v(x) + \gamma, \ x \geq 0.$$

Then

$$(u[e])^*(x) - (v[e])^*(x) \geq x \ln \sigma - \gamma, \ x \geq 0.$$

Proof. Obviously, $u[e](t + \ln \sigma) \leq v[e](t) + \gamma, \ t \geq 0$. Then for $x \geq 0$

$$(u[e])^*(x) - (v[e])^*(x) = \sup_{t \geq 0}(xt - u[e](t)) - \sup_{t \geq 0}(xt - v[e](t)) \geq$$

$$\geq \sup_{t \geq 0}(xt - u[e](t)) - \sup_{t \geq 0}(xt - u[e](t + \ln \sigma)) - \gamma =$$

$$= \sup_{t \geq 0}(xt - u[e](t)) - \sup_{t \geq 0}(x(t + \ln \sigma) - u[e](t + \ln \sigma)) + x \ln \sigma - \gamma \geq x \ln \sigma - \gamma.$$

3 Equivalent description of the space $E(\Phi)$ under additional conditions on Φ

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $f \in E(\Phi)$. Then $f \in E(\varphi_\nu)$ for some $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be arbitrary. Using Cauchy integral formula we have

$$(1+\|x\|)^m(D^\alpha f)(x) = \frac{\alpha!}{(2\pi i)^n}\int\limits_{L_R(x)}\cdots\int \frac{f(\zeta)(1+\|x\|)^m\, d\zeta}{(\zeta_1-x_1)^{\alpha_1+1}\cdots(\zeta_n-x_n)^{\alpha_n+1}},$$

where for any $R>0$ $\;L_R(x) = \{\zeta=(\zeta_1,\ldots,\zeta_n)\in\mathbb{C}^n : |\zeta_j - x_j| = R, j = 1,\ldots,n\}$. From this we get

$$(1+\|x\|)^m|(D^\alpha f)(x)| \le$$

$$\le \frac{\alpha!}{(2\pi)^n}\int\limits_{L_R(x)}\cdots\int \frac{(1+\|x-\zeta\|)^m(1+\|\zeta\|)^m|f(\zeta)\;|d\zeta|}{|\zeta_1-x_1|^{\alpha_1+1}\cdots|\zeta_n-x_n|^{\alpha_n+1}} \le$$

$$\le \frac{\alpha! p_{\nu,m}(f)(1+nR)^m e^{\varphi_\nu(nR)}}{R^{|\alpha|}}.$$

Using the second condition on Φ we have

$$(1+\|x\|)^m|(D^\alpha f)(x)| \le e^{C(\nu,m)}\alpha! p_{\nu,m}(f)\frac{e^{\varphi_{\nu+1}(nR)}}{R^{|\alpha|}}.$$

Hence,

$$(1+\|x\|)^m|(D^\alpha f)(x)| \le e^{C(\nu,m)}n^{|\alpha|}\alpha! p_{\nu,m}(f)\inf_{R>0}\frac{e^{\varphi_{\nu+1}(R)}}{R^{|\alpha|}} \le$$

$$\le e^{C(\nu,m)}n^{|\alpha|}\alpha! p_{\nu,m}(f)\exp(-\sup_{R>1}(|\alpha|\ln R - \varphi_{\nu+1}(R)) =$$

$$= e^{C(\nu,m)}n^{|\alpha|}\alpha! p_{\nu,m}(f)\exp(-\sup_{r>0}(|\alpha| r - \psi_{\nu+1}(r))) =$$

$$= e^{C(\nu,m)}n^{|\alpha|}\alpha! p_{\nu,m}(f)e^{-\psi^*_{\nu+1}(|\alpha|)}.$$

Note that by the condition i_3) and Lemma 3 for each $k\in\mathbb{N}$

$$\psi^*_k(x) - \psi^*_{k+1}(x) \ge x\ln 2 - a_k,\;\; x\ge 0, \tag{2}$$

So using the inequality (2) we obtain that

$$(1+\|x\|)^m|(D^\alpha f)(x)| \le a_{\nu,m}p_{\nu,m}(f)\alpha! e^{-\psi^*_{\nu+2n+1}(|\alpha|)},\;\; x\in\mathbb{R}^n, \alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n,$$

where $a_{\nu,m}$ is some positive number depending on ν and m. Hence, we have that for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

$$\mathcal{R}_{m,\nu+2n+1}(f_{|\mathbb{R}^n}) \le a_{\nu,m} p_{\nu,m}(f). \tag{3}$$

Therefore, $f_{|\mathbb{R}^n} \in \mathcal{E}(\psi^*_{\nu+2n+1})$. Thus, $f_{|\mathbb{R}^n} \in \mathcal{E}(\Psi^*)$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $f \in \mathcal{E}(\Psi^*)$. Then $f \in \mathcal{E}(\psi^*_\nu)$ for some $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ we have

$$(1 + \|x\|)^m |(D^\alpha f)(x)| \le \mathcal{R}_{m,\nu}(f) \alpha! e^{-\psi^*_\nu(|\alpha|)},\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+. \tag{4}$$

Since $\lim\limits_{x \to +\infty} \dfrac{\psi^*_\nu(x)}{x} = +\infty$ then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a constant $c_\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$ $|(D^\alpha f)(x)| \le c_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{|\alpha|} \alpha!$. So it is clear that the sequence $(\sum\limits_{|\alpha| \le k} \frac{(D^\alpha f)(0)}{\alpha!} x^\alpha)_{k=1}^\infty$ converges to f informly on compacts of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the series $\sum\limits_{|\alpha| \ge 0} \dfrac{(D^\alpha f)(0)}{\alpha!} z^\alpha$ converges informly on compacts of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and, hence, its sum $F_f(z)$ is an entire function in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Note that $F_f(x) = f(x),\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The uniqueness of holomorphic continuation is obvious.

Let us show that $F_f \in E(\Phi)$. We will estimate a growth of F_f using the inequality (4) and the Taylor series expansion of F_f with respect to a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$F_f(z) = \sum_{|\alpha| \ge 0} \frac{(D^\alpha f)(x)}{\alpha!} (iy)^\alpha,\ z = x + iy, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be arbitrary. Then

$$(1 + \|z\|)^m |F_f(z)| \le \sum_{|\alpha| \ge 0} \frac{(1 + \|x\|)^m (1 + \|y\|)^{m+|\alpha|} |(D^\alpha f)(x)|}{\alpha!} \le$$

$$\le \sum_{|\alpha| \ge 0} \mathcal{R}_{m,\nu}(f) e^{-\psi^*_\nu(|\alpha|)} (1 + \|y\|)^{m+|\alpha|} \le$$

$$\le \mathcal{R}_{m,\nu}(f)(1 + \|y\|)^m \sum_{|\alpha| \ge 0} \frac{(1 + \|y\|)^{|\alpha|}}{e^{\psi^*_{\nu+1}(|\alpha|)}} e^{\psi^*_{\nu+1}(|\alpha|) - \psi^*_\nu(|\alpha|)}.$$

Recall that Φ satisfies the condition i_4). Hence by Lemma 3

$$\psi^*_k(x) - \psi^*_{k+1}(x) \ge \delta_k x - \gamma_k,\ x \ge 0, \tag{5}$$

where $\delta_k = \ln \sigma_k$. Using this inequality and denoting $(\frac{e^{\gamma_\nu + \delta_\nu}}{e^{\delta_\nu} - 1})^n$ by B_ν we have

$$(1 + \|z\|)^m |F_f(z)| \le B_\nu \mathcal{R}_{m,\nu}(f)(1 + \|y\|)^m \sup_{|\alpha| \ge 0} \frac{(1 + \|y\|)^{|\alpha|}}{e^{\psi^*_{\nu+1}(|\alpha|)}} \le$$

$$\leq B_\nu \mathcal{R}_{m,\nu}(f)(1+\|y\|)^m e^{\sup\limits_{t\geq 0}(t\ln(1+\|y\|)-\psi^*_{\nu+1}(t))}.$$

Thus,

$$(1+\|z\|)^m|F_f(z)| \leq B_\nu \mathcal{R}_{m,\nu}(f) e^{(\psi^*_{\nu+1})^*(\ln(1+\|y\|))+m\ln(1+\|y\|))}. \tag{6}$$

Note that from the condition i_2) on Φ it follows that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A > 0$

$$\psi_k(x) + Ax \leq \psi_{k+1}(x) + C(k,A),\ x \geq 0.$$

So for all $\xi \geq 0$

$$\psi^*_k(\xi) = \sup_{x\geq 0}(\xi x - \psi_k(x)) \geq \sup_{x\geq 0}(\xi x - \psi_{k+1}(x) + Ax) - C(k,A) =$$

$$= \sup_{x\geq 0}((\xi + A)x - \psi_{k+1}(x)) - C(k,A) = \psi^*_{k+1}(\xi + A) - C(k,A).$$

Then for all $x \geq 0$

$$(\psi^*_k)^*(x) = \sup_{x\geq 0}(x\xi - \psi^*_k(\xi)) \leq \sup_{x\geq 0}(x\xi - \psi^*_{k+1}(\xi + A)) + C(k,A) =$$

$$= \sup_{x\geq 0}(x(\xi+A) - \psi^*_{k+1}(\xi+A)) - Ax + C(k,A) \leq (\psi^*_{k+1})^*(x) - Ax + C(k,A).$$

Thus, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A > 0$ we have

$$(\psi^*_k)^*(x) + Ax \leq (\psi^*_{k+1})^*(x) + C(k,A),\ x \geq 0. \tag{7}$$

Now using the inequality (7) we obtain from the estimate (6) that

$$(1+\|z\|)^m|F_f(z)| \leq B_\nu \mathcal{R}_{m,\nu}(f) e^{C_{\nu+1,m}} e^{(\psi^*_{\nu+2})^*(\ln(1+\|y\|))}. \tag{8}$$

It is clear that

$$(1+\|z\|)^m|F_f(z)| \leq B_\nu \mathcal{R}_{m,\nu}(f) e^{C_{\nu+1,m}} e^{\psi_{\nu+2}(\ln(1+\|y\|))}.$$

Hence,

$$(1+\|z\|)^m|F_f(z)| \leq B_\nu \mathcal{R}_{m,\nu}(f) e^{C_{\nu+1,m}} e^{\varphi_{\nu+2}(1+\|y\|)}.$$

Using nondecreasity of functions of the family Φ щт уфср мфкшфиду and the condition i_2) on Φ it is possible to find a constant $K_{\nu,m} > 0$ such that for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$

$$(1+\|z\|)^m|F_f(z)| \leq K_{\nu,m}\mathcal{R}_{m,\nu}(f) e^{\varphi_{\nu+3}(\|Imz\|)}. \tag{9}$$

Thus, for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ $p_{\nu+3,m}(F_f) \leq K_{\nu,m}\mathcal{R}_{m,\nu}(f)$. Hence, $F_f \in E(\varphi_{\nu+3})$. Thus, $F_f \in E(\Phi)$.

Theorem 2 is proved.

Recall that in Section 1 we introduced the spaces $\mathcal{H}_k(\varphi_\nu)$, $\mathcal{H}(\varphi_\nu)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\Phi)$ as follows. For each $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ let

$$\mathcal{H}_k(\varphi_\nu) = \{f \in H(\mathbb{C}^n) : \mathcal{N}_{\nu,k}(f) = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}^n} \frac{|f(z)|(1+\|z\|)^k}{e^{(\psi_\nu^*)^*(\ln(1+\|Imz\|))}} < \infty\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{H}(\varphi_\nu) = \bigcap\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_k(\varphi_\nu)$, $\mathcal{H}(\Phi) = \bigcup\limits_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}(\varphi_\nu)$. With usual operations of addition and multiplication by complex numbers $\mathcal{H}(\varphi_\nu)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\Phi)$ are linear spaces. Since $\mathcal{N}_{\nu,k}(f) \le \mathcal{N}_{\nu,k+1}(f)$ for $f \in \mathcal{H}_{k+1}(\varphi_\nu)$ then $\mathcal{H}_{k+1}(\varphi_\nu)$ is continuously embedded in $\mathcal{H}_k(\varphi_\nu)$. Endow $\mathcal{H}(\varphi_\nu)$ with a projective limit topology of spaces $\mathcal{H}_k(\varphi_\nu)$. Taking into account the inequality (7) we see that if $f \in \mathcal{H}(\varphi_\nu)$ then $\mathcal{N}_{\nu+1,k}(f) \le e^{C(\nu,1)}\mathcal{N}_{\nu,k}(f)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Thus, $\mathcal{H}(\varphi_\nu)$ is continuously embedded in $\mathcal{H}(\varphi_{\nu+1})$ for each $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. Supply $\mathcal{H}(\Phi)$ with a topology of an inductive limit of spaces $\mathcal{H}(\varphi_\nu)$.

Proposition 1. *Let the family Φ satisfies the condition i_3). Then $E(\Phi) = \mathcal{H}(\Phi)$.*

Proof. First show that $\mathcal{H}(\Phi)$ is continuously embedded in $E(\Phi)$. Let $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary and $f \in \mathcal{H}(\varphi_\nu)$. Using nondecreasity of φ_ν and the condition i_3) on Φ we can find a constant $K_\nu > 0$ such that for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

$$p_{\nu+1,k}(f) \le K_\nu \mathcal{N}_{\nu,k}(f),\ f \in \mathcal{H}(\varphi_\nu).$$

From this it follows that the embedding $I : \mathcal{H}(\Phi) \to E(\Phi)$ is continuous.

The mapping I is surjective too. Indeed, if $f \in E(\Phi)$ then $f \in E(\varphi_\nu)$ for some $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be arbitrary. Recall that by the inequality (3) $\mathcal{R}_{m,\nu+2n+1}(f_{|\mathbb{R}}) \le a_{\nu,m}p_{\nu,m}(f)$. From this and the inequality (8) (with ν replaced by $\nu+2n+1$; also recall that in our case $\sigma = 2$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$) we obtain

$$\mathcal{N}_{\nu+2n+3,m}(f) \le A_{\nu,m}p_{\nu,m}(f),$$

where $A_{\nu,m}$ is some positive number. Hence, $f \in \mathcal{H}(\varphi_{\nu+2n+3})$. So, $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Phi)$. Moreover, the last estimate shows that the inverse mapping I^{-1} is continuous. Hence, the equality $E(\Phi) = \mathcal{H}(\Phi)$ is topological too.

4 Fourier transformation of $E(\Phi)$

First note that if Φ satisfies the condition i_3) then the space $G(\Psi^*)$ admits more simple description. To show that we introduce the space $Q(\Psi^*)$ as follows. For $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ let

$$Q_m(\psi_\nu^*) = \{f \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^n) :$$

$$N_{\nu,m}(f) = \max_{|\alpha|\le m} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n, k\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{(1+\|x\|)^k |(D^\alpha f)(x)|}{k! e^{-\psi_\nu^*(k)}} < \infty\}.$$

Let $Q(\psi_\nu^*) = \bigcap\limits_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+} Q_m(\psi_\nu^*)$ and $Q(\Psi^*) = \bigcup\limits_{\nu\in\mathbb{N}} Q(\psi_\nu^*)$. With usual operations of addition and multiplication by complex numbers $Q(\psi_\nu^*)$ and $Q(\Psi^*)$ are linear spaces. The family of norms $N_{\nu,m}(f)$ $(m \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$ defines a locally convex topology in $Q(\psi_\nu^*)$. Endow $Q(\Psi^*)$ with a topology of inductive limit of spaces $Q(\psi_\nu^*)$.

Lemma 4. *Let the family Φ satisfies the condition i_3). Then spaces $Q(\Psi^*)$ and $G(\Psi^*)$ coincide.*

Proof. Obviously, if $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in Q(\psi_\nu^*)$ then for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ we have $\|f\|_{m,\psi_\nu^*} \le N_{\nu,m}(f)$. Hence, $f \in G_m(\psi_\nu^*)$. Thus, if $f \in Q(\Psi^*)$ then $f \in G(\Psi^*)$ and the embedding mapping $J : Q(\Psi^*) \to G(\Psi^*)$ is continuous.

Show that J is surjective. Indeed, let $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in G(\psi_\nu^*)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary. Using the inequality (2) we obtain

$$\sup_{\|x\|\le 1, k\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{(1+\|x\|)^k |(D^\alpha f)(x)|}{k! e^{-\psi_{\nu+n}^*(k)}} \le \sup_{\|x\|\le 1, k\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{e^{a_{\nu+n-1}} |(D^\alpha f)(x)|}{k! e^{-\psi_{\nu+n-1}^*(k)}}.$$

Take into account that $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \frac{e^{\psi_{\nu+n-1}^*(k)}}{k!} = 0$ (see Corollary 1). So there is a number $C_1(\nu) > 1$ such that for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$

$$\sup_{\|x\|\le 1, k\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{(1+\|x\|)^k |(D^\alpha f)(x)|}{k! e^{-\psi_{\nu+n}^*(k)}} \le C_1(\nu) \sup_{\|x\|\le 1} |(D^\alpha f)(x)|. \tag{10}$$

Since for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\alpha| \le m$

$$|(D^\alpha f)(x)| \le \|f\|_{m,\psi_\nu^*} e^{-\psi_\nu^*(0)},\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

then from (10) we have that

$$\max_{|\alpha|\le m} \sup_{\|x\|\le 1, k\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{(1+\|x\|)^k |(D^\alpha f)(x)|}{k! e^{-\psi_{\nu+n}^*(k)}} \le C_1(\nu) \|f\|_{m,\psi_\nu^*} e^{-\psi_\nu^*(0)}. \tag{11}$$

Further, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$

$$\sup_{\|x\|>1, k\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{(1+\|x\|)^k |(D^\alpha f)(x)|}{k! e^{-\psi_{\nu+n}^*(k)}} \le \sup_{\|x\|>1, \beta\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n} \frac{(2n)^{|\beta|} |x^\beta (D^\alpha f)(x)|}{|\beta|! e^{-\psi_{\nu+n}^*(|\beta|)}}.$$

Using the inequality (2) we get that for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\alpha| \le m$ that

$$\sup_{\|x\|>1, k\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{(1+\|x\|)^k |(D^\alpha f)(x)|}{k! e^{-\psi_{\nu+n}^*(k)}} \le \sup_{\|x\|>1, \beta\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n} \frac{C_2(\nu) |x^\beta (D^\alpha f)(x)|}{|\beta|! e^{-\psi_\nu^*(|\beta|)}} \le$$

$$\leq C_2(\nu)\|f\|_{m,\psi^*_\nu},$$

where $C_2(\nu) > 1$ is some constant. From this and (11) we obtain for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

$$N_{\nu+n,m}(f) \leq C(\nu)\|f\|_{m,\psi^*_\nu},\ f \in G(\psi^*_\nu), \tag{12}$$

where $C_1(\nu) = \max(C_2(\nu), C_1(\nu)e^{-\psi^*_\nu(0)})$. Hence, $f \in Q(\psi^*_{\nu+n})$. Thus, if $f \in G(\Psi^*)$ then $f \in Q(\Psi^*)$. Note that from (12) it easily follows that the inverse mapping J^{-1} is continuous. Therefore, the topological equality $Q(\psi^*) = G(\psi^*)$ is established.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in E(\varphi_\nu)$. Obviously, for all α, $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$, $x, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$x^\beta(D^\alpha \hat{f})(x) = x^\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\zeta)(-i\zeta)^\alpha e^{-i\langle x,\zeta\rangle}\, d\xi,\ \zeta = \xi + i\eta.$$

From this equality we have

$$|x^\beta(D^\alpha \hat{f})(x)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(\zeta)|\|\zeta\|^{|\alpha|}e^{\langle x,\eta\rangle}\|x\|^{|\beta|}\, d\xi \leq$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(\zeta)|(1+\|\zeta\|)^{n+|\alpha|+1}e^{\langle x,\eta\rangle}\|x\|^{|\beta|}\, \frac{d\xi}{(1+\|\xi\|)^{n+1}}\ .$$

If $|\beta| = 0$ then

$$|(D^\alpha \hat{f})(x)| \leq s_n(1)e^{\varphi_\nu(0)}p_{\nu,n+|\alpha|+1}(f). \tag{13}$$

If $|\beta| > 0$ and $x \neq 0$ then putting $\eta = -\frac{x}{\|x\|}t\ \ (t > 0)$ we have

$$|x^\beta(D^\alpha \hat{f})(x)| \leq s_n(1)p_{\nu,n+|\alpha|+1}(f)e^{-t\|x\|}e^{\varphi_\nu(t)}\|x\|^{|\beta|} \leq$$

$$\leq s_n(1)p_{\nu,n+|\alpha|+1}(f)e^{\sup\limits_{r>0}(-tr+|\beta|\ln r)}e^{\varphi_\nu(t)} =$$

$$= s_n(1)p_{\nu,n+|\alpha|+1}(f)e^{|\beta|\ln|\beta|-|\beta|-|\beta|\ln t}e^{\varphi_\nu(t)}.$$

Since for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

$$\inf_{t>0}(-k\ln t + \varphi_\nu(t)) = -\sup_{t>0}(k\ln t - \varphi_\nu(t)) \leq$$

$$\leq -\sup_{t\geq 1}(k\ln t - \varphi_\nu(t)) = -\sup_{u\geq 0}(ku - \psi_\nu(u)) = -\psi^*_\nu(k),$$

then from this and the previous estimate we obtain

$$|x^\beta(D^\alpha \hat{f})(x)| \leq s_n(1)p_{\nu,n+|\alpha|+1}(f)e^{|\beta|\ln|\beta|-|\beta|}e^{-\psi^*_\nu(|\beta|)}. \tag{14}$$

If $|\beta| > 0$ and $x = 0$ then $x^\beta (D^\alpha \hat{f})(x) = 0$. From this and the inequalities (13) and (14) it follows that for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$|x^\beta (D^\alpha \hat{f})(x)| \le s_n(1) p_{\nu,n+|\alpha|+1}(f) |\beta|! e^{-\psi_\nu^*(|\beta|)}.$$

Thus, for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

$$\max_{|\alpha|\le m} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n, \beta\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n} \frac{|x^\beta (D^\alpha \hat{f})(x)|}{|\beta|! e^{-\psi_\nu^*(|\beta|)}} \le s_n(1) p_{\nu,n+m+1}(f),\ f \in E(\varphi_\nu).$$

In other words,

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{m,\psi_\nu^*} \le s_n(1) p_{\nu,n+m+1}(f),\ f \in E(\varphi_\nu).$$

From this inequality it follows that the linear mapping $\mathcal{F} : f \in E(\Phi) \to \hat{f}$ acts from $E(\Phi)$ to $G(\Psi^*)$ and is continuous.

Let us show that $\mathcal{F}$ is surjective. Let $g \in G(\Psi^*)$. Then $g \in G(\psi_\nu^*)$ for some $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. By the proof of Lemma 4 $g \in Q(\psi_{\nu+n}^*)$. For all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$(1 + \|x\|)^k |(D^\alpha g)(x)| \le N_{\nu+n,|\alpha|}(g) k! e^{-\psi_{\nu+n}^*(k)}. \tag{15}$$

Let

$$f(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) e^{i\langle x,\xi\rangle}\, dx,\ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

For all $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$(i\xi)^\beta (D^\alpha f)(\xi) = \frac{(-1)^{|\beta|}}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D^\beta (g(x)(ix)^\alpha) e^{i\langle x,\xi\rangle}\, dx.$$

Put $\gamma_s = \min(\beta_s, \alpha_s)$ for $s = 1, \dots, n$, $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$. Then

$$(i\xi)^\beta (D^\alpha f)(\xi) = \frac{(-1)^{|\beta|}}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n : j\le\gamma} C_\beta^j (D^{\beta-j} g)(x) (D^j (ix)^\alpha) e^{i\langle x,\xi\rangle}\, dx.$$

From this (using the inequality (15)) we have

$$|\xi^\beta (D^\alpha f)(\xi)| \le \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n : j\le\gamma} C_\beta^j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(D^{\beta-j} g)(x)| \frac{\alpha!}{(\alpha-j)!} \|x\|^{|\alpha-j|}\, dx \le$$

$$\le \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n : j\le\gamma} C_\beta^j \frac{\alpha!}{(\alpha-j)!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(D^{\beta-j} g)(x)| (1+\|x\|)^{|\alpha-j|+n+1} \frac{dx}{(1+\|x\|)^{n+1}} \le$$

$$\leq \frac{s_n(1)}{(2\pi)^n} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n: j\leq\gamma} C_\beta^j \frac{\alpha!}{(\alpha-j)!} \frac{N_{\nu+n,|\beta|}(g)(|\alpha|-|j|+n+1)!}{e^{\psi^*_{\nu+n}(|\alpha|-|j|+n+1)}} \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{s_n(1)N_{\nu+n,|\beta|}(g)\alpha!}{(2\pi)^n} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n: j\leq\gamma} C_\beta^j \frac{(|\alpha|-|j|+n+1)!}{(\alpha-j)!} e^{-\psi^*_{\nu+n}(|\alpha|-|j|)}\ .$$

Note that from the condition i_5) on Φ it follows that for each $k\in\mathbb{N}$

$$2\psi_k(x) \leq \psi_{k+1}(x+b_k) + l_k,\ x\geq 0,$$

where $b_k = \ln h_k$. Hence, by Lemma 2

$$\psi^*_{k+1}(x+y) \leq \psi^*_k(x) + \psi^*_k(y) + b_k(x+y) + A_k,\ x,y\geq 0, \tag{16}$$

where A_k is some positive constant. Using the inequality (16) and setting $c_1 = \frac{s_n(1)e^{A_{\nu+n}}}{(2\pi)^n}$ we have

$$|\xi^\beta(D^\alpha f)(\xi)| \leq \frac{c_1 e^{b_{\nu+n}|\alpha|}N_{\nu+n,|\beta|}(g)\alpha!}{e^{\psi^*_{\nu+n+1}(|\alpha|)}} \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n:\\ j\leq\gamma}} \frac{C_\beta^j(|\alpha|-|j|+n+1)!e^{\psi^*_{\nu+n}(|j|)}}{(\alpha-j)!}.$$

Note that $(m_1+m_2)! \leq e^{m_1+m_2}m_1!m_2!$ for all $m_1,m_2\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ From this it follows that for all $m_1,\ldots,m_n\in\mathbb{Z}_+$

$$(m_1+\cdots+m_n)! \leq e^{(n-1)(m_1+\cdots+m_n)}m_1!\cdots m_n!. \tag{17}$$

Using this inequality and setting $c_2 = c_1e^{n+1}(n+1)!$ we have

$$|\xi^\beta(D^\alpha f)(\xi)| \leq \frac{c_2 e^{b_{\nu+n}|\alpha|}N_{\nu+n,|\beta|}(g)\alpha!}{e^{\psi^*_{\nu+n+1}(|\alpha|)}} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n: j\leq\gamma} C_\beta^j \frac{e^{|\alpha|-|j|}(|\alpha|-|j|)!e^{\psi^*_{\nu+n}(|j|)}}{(\alpha-j)!}.$$

Using the inequality (17) again we obtain

$$|\xi^\beta(D^\alpha f)(\xi)| \leq \frac{c_2 e^{b_{\nu+n}|\alpha|}N_{\nu+n,|\beta|}(g)\alpha!}{e^{\psi^*_{\nu+n+1}(|\alpha|)}} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n: j\leq\gamma} C_\beta^j e^{n(|\alpha|-|j|)}e^{\psi^*_{\nu+n}(|j|)}.$$

Since the series $\sum\limits_{|j|\geq 0} e^{-n|j|}\dfrac{e^{\psi^*_{\nu+n}(|j|)}}{j!}$ converges (see Remark 1) then we have

$$|\xi^\beta(D^\alpha f)(\xi)| \leq \frac{c_2\beta!e^{(b_{\nu+n}+n)|\alpha|}N_{\nu+n,|\beta|}(g)\alpha!}{e^{\psi^*_{\nu+n+1}(|\alpha|)}} \sum_{|j|\geq 0} e^{-n|j|}\frac{e^{\psi^*_{\nu+n}(|j|)}}{j!}.$$

Using (17) once more and setting $c_3 = c_2\beta! \sum_{|j|\geq 0} \frac{e^{\psi^*_{\nu+n}(|j|)}}{|j|!}$ we have

$$|\xi^\beta (D^\alpha f)(\xi)| \leq c_3 e^{(b_{\nu+n}+n)|\alpha|} N_{\nu+n,|\beta|}(g)\alpha! e^{-\psi^*_{\nu+n+1}(|\alpha|)}.$$

From this using the inequality (2) we can find integer $s = s(\nu, n) > n+1$ and a constant $c_4 > 0$ (depending on ν, n and β) such that

$$|\xi^\beta (D^\alpha f)(\xi)| \leq c_4 N_{\nu+n,|\beta|}(g)\alpha! e^{-\psi^*_{\nu+s}(|\alpha|)}.$$

So if $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ then from the last inequality we get that for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$(1 + \|\xi\|)^m |(D^\alpha f)(\xi)| \leq c_5 N_{\nu+n,m}(g)\alpha! e^{-\psi^*_{\nu+s}(|\alpha|)},$$

where $c_5 > 0$ is some positive constant depending on ν, n and m. By Theorem 2 f can be holomorphically continued (uniquely) to entire function F_f belonging to $E(\Phi)$. Obviously, $g = \mathcal{F}(F_f)$. The proof of Theorem 2 (see inequalities (4) and (9)) indicates that there is a constant $c_6 > 0$ (depending on ν, n and m) such that for $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$

$$(1 + \|z\|)^m |F_f(z)| \leq c_6 N_{\nu+n,m}(g) e^{\varphi_{\nu+s+3}(\|Imz\|)}.$$

Hence,

$$p_{\nu+s+3,m}(F_f) \leq c_6 N_{\nu+n,m}(g).$$

Taking into account (12) we get

$$p_{\nu+s+3,m}(F_f) \leq c_7 \|g\|_{m,\psi^*_\nu},\ g \in G(\psi^*_\nu),$$

where $c_7 > 0$ depends on on ν, n and m. From this estimate it follows that the inverse mapping $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ is continuous.

Thus, we have proved that Fourier transformation establishes a topological isomorphism between spaces $E(\Phi)$ and $G(\Psi^*)$.

5 Special case of Φ

In the proof of Theorem 4 the following three lemmas will be used.

Lemma 5. *Let g be a real-valued continuous function on $[0, \infty)$ such that $\lim_{x\to+\infty} \frac{g(x)}{x} = +\infty$. Then for each $\delta > 0$*

$$\lim_{x\to+\infty} \frac{g^*((1+\delta)x) - g^*(x)}{x} = +\infty.$$

Proof. Let $\delta > 0$ be arbitrary. For each $x > 0$ denote by $\xi(x)$ a point where the supremum of the function $u_x(\xi) = x\xi - g(\xi)$ over $[0, \infty)$ is attained. Note that $\xi(x) \to +\infty$ as $x \to +\infty$. Otherwise there are a number $M > 0$ and a sequence $(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of positive numbers x_j converging to $+\infty$ such that $\xi(x_j) \le M$. Then $g^*(x_j) = x_j\xi(x_j) - g(\xi(x_j))$. But it contradicts to the fact that $\lim\limits_{x\to+\infty} \dfrac{g^*(x)}{x} = +\infty$. Thus, $\lim\limits_{x\to+\infty} \xi(x) = +\infty$. From this and the inequality

$$g^*((1+\delta)x) - g^*(x) \ge (1+\delta)x\xi(x) - g(\xi(x)) - x\xi(x) + g(\xi(x)) = \delta x\xi(x),\ x > 0,$$

the assertion of lemma follows. The proof is complete.

The following lemma follows from a result of S.V. Popenov [9] (see Lemma 4 there). So the proof is omitted.

Lemma 6. *Let $u \in V$. Then there exists a constant $K > 0$ depending on u such that*

$$(u[e])^*(t) + (u^*[e])^*(t) \ge t\ln t - t - K,\ t > 0.$$

The following lemma was proved in [7], [8].

Lemma 7. *Let a lower semi-continuous function $u : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\lim\limits_{x\to+\infty} \dfrac{u(x)}{x} = +\infty$. Then*

$$(u[e])^*(x) + (u^*[e])^*(x) \le x\ln x - x,\ x > 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 4. Let $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in G(\psi^*_\nu)$. Fix $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Since $f \in Q(\psi^*_{\nu+n})$ (see the proof of Lemma 4) then for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$ with $|\alpha| \le m$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$|(D^\alpha f)(x)| \le \frac{N_{\nu+n,m}(f)k!e^{-\psi^*_{\nu+n}(k)}}{(1+\|x\|)^k}\ . \tag{18}$$

Taking into account that $j! < \frac{3j^{j+1}}{e^j}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and using the inequality (16) and nondecreasity of $\psi^*_{\nu+n}$ we have for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in [k, k+1)$ and $\mu \ge 1$

$$\frac{k!e^{-\psi^*_{\nu+n}(k)}}{\mu^k} \le \frac{3k^{k+1}e^{-\psi^*_{\nu+n}(k)}}{e^k\mu^k} \le \frac{3\mu t^{t+1}e^{-\psi^*_{\nu+n+1}(t)+\psi^*_{\nu+n}(1)+b_{\nu+n}t+A_{\nu+n}}}{e^t\mu^t}.$$

Using the inequality (2) we have

$$\frac{k!e^{-\psi^*_{\nu+n}(k)}}{\mu^k} \le C_1\mu e^{(t+1)\ln t - \psi^*_{\nu+s}(t) - t\ln e\mu + (b_{\nu+n} - s\ln 2)t},$$

where integer $s \geq n+2$, positive constant C_1 depends on ν, n and s. Now choose $s \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $s \ln 2 > b_{\nu+n}$ then we can find a positive constant C_2 (depending on ν, n and chosen s) such that

$$\frac{k! e^{-\psi^*_{\nu+n}(k)}}{\mu^k} \leq C_2 \mu e^{t \ln t - \psi^*_{\nu+s}(t) - t \ln \mu}.$$

Now with help of Lemma 6 we obtain

$$\frac{k! e^{-\psi^*_{\nu+n}(k)}}{\mu^k} \leq C_3 \mu e^{(\varphi^*_{\nu+s}[e])^*(t) - t \ln \mu},$$

where C_3 is some positive constant depending on ν, n and chosen s. From this it follows that

$$\inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{k! e^{-\psi^*_{\nu+n}(k)}}{\mu^k} \leq C_3 \mu e^{\inf\limits_{t \geq 1} (\varphi^*_{\nu+s}[e])^*(t) - t \ln \mu}. \tag{19}$$

Obviously,

$$\inf_{t \geq 1} \left((\varphi^*_{\nu+s}[e])^*(t) - t \ln \mu \right) \leq - \ln \mu + (\varphi^*_{\nu+s}[e])^*(1);$$

$$\inf_{0 < t \leq 1} \left((\varphi^*_{\nu+s}[e])^*(t) - t \ln \mu \right) \geq - \ln \mu + (\varphi^*_{\nu+s}[e])^*(0).$$

Consequently,

$$\inf_{t \geq 1} \left((\varphi^*_{\nu+s}[e])^*(t) - t \ln \mu \right) \leq$$

$$\leq \inf_{0 < t \leq 1} \left((\varphi^*_{\nu+s}[e])^*(t) - t \ln \mu \right) + (\varphi^*_{\nu+s}[e])^*(1) - (\varphi^*_{\nu+s}[e])^*(0).$$

Denoting $(\varphi^*_{\nu+s}[e])^*(1) - (\varphi^*_{\nu+s}[e])^*(0)$ by m_ν we have

$$\inf_{t \geq 1} \left((\varphi^*_{\nu+s}[e])^*(t) - t \ln \mu \right) \leq \inf_{t > 0} \left((\varphi^*_{\nu+s}[e])^*(t) - t \ln \mu \right) + m_\nu.$$

Going back to (19) we have

$$\inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{k! e^{-\psi^*_{\nu+n}(k)}}{\mu^k} \leq C_3 e^{m_\nu} \mu e^{\inf\limits_{t > 0} \left((\varphi^*_{\nu+s}[e])^*(t) - t \ln \mu \right)}. \tag{20}$$

For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ choose $\theta_j \in V_{\varphi^*_j[e]}$. Then

$$|\theta_j(\xi) - \varphi^*_j[e](\xi)| \leq r_j, \ \xi \geq 0; \tag{21}$$

$$|\theta^*_j(\xi) - (\varphi^*_j[e])^*(\xi)| \leq r_j, \ \xi \geq 0, \tag{22}$$

where r_j is some positive number depending on $\varphi_j^*[e]$ and θ_j. From (20) using the inequality (22) we have

$$\inf_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{k!e^{-\psi^*_{\nu+n}(k)}}{\mu^k} \le C_4\mu e^{\inf\limits_{t>0}\left(\theta^*_{\nu+s}(t)-t\ln\mu\right)}.$$

where $C_4 = C_3 e^{m_\nu + r_{\nu+s}}$. Using the Young inversion formula we obtain

$$\inf_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{k!e^{-\psi^*_{\nu+n}(k)}}{\mu^k} \le C_4\mu e^{-\theta_{\nu+s}(\ln\mu)}.$$

From this using the inequality (21) we have

$$\inf_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{k!e^{-\psi^*_{\nu+n}(k)}}{\mu^k} \le C_5\mu e^{-\varphi^*_{\nu+s}[e](\ln\mu)},$$

where $C_5 = C_{\nu,4}e^{r_{\nu+s}}$. In other words,

$$\inf_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{k!e^{-\psi^*_{\nu+n}(k)}}{\mu^k} \le C_5\mu e^{-\varphi^*_{\nu+s}(\mu)}.$$

Using this inequality and nondecreasity of $\varphi^*_{\nu+s}$ we have

$$\inf_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{k!e^{-\psi^*_{\nu+n}(k)}}{(1+\|x\|)^k} \le C_5(1+|x|)e^{-\varphi^*_{\nu+s}(\|x\|)},\ x\in\mathbb{R}^n. \tag{23}$$

Note that using the condition i_3) on Φ it is easy to obtain that for each $j\in\mathbb{N}$

$$\varphi^*_{j+1}(\xi) \le \varphi^*_j\left(\frac{\xi}{2}\right) + a_j,\ \xi\ge 0. \tag{24}$$

Hence, $\varphi^*_j(\xi) - \varphi^*_{j+1}(\xi) \ge \varphi^*_j(\xi) - \varphi^*_j\left(\frac{\xi}{2}\right) - a_j,\ \xi\ge 0$. From this and Lemma 5 we get that

$$\lim_{x\to+\infty} \frac{\varphi^*_j(\xi)-\varphi^*_{j+1}(\xi)}{\xi} = +\infty. \tag{25}$$

Going back to (23) we obtain with help of (25) that

$$\inf_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{k!e^{-\psi^*_{\nu+n}(k)}}{(1+\|x\|)^k} \le C_6 e^{-\varphi^*_{\nu+s+1}(\|x\|)},\ x\in\mathbb{R}^n,$$

where C_6 is some positive number.

From this and the inequality (18) we obtain that for all $\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^n_+$ with $|\alpha|\le m$

$$|(D^\alpha f)(x)| \le C_6 N_{\nu+n,m}(f)e^{-\varphi^*_{\nu+s+1}(\|x\|)}. \tag{26}$$

This means that

$$q_{m,\nu+s+1}(f) \le C_6 N_{\nu+n,m}(f),\ f \in G(\psi_\nu^*).$$

Taking into account the inequality (12) we have

$$q_{m,\nu+s+1}(f) \le C_7 \|f\|_{m,\psi_\nu^*},\ f \in G(\psi_\nu^*),$$

where C_7 is some positive constant depending on ν. From this it follows that the identity mapping I acts from $G(\Psi^*)$ to $GS(\Phi^*)$ and is continuous.

Show that I is surjective. Let $f \in GS(\Phi^*)$. Then $f \in GS(\varphi_\nu^*)$ for some $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be fixed, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be arbitrary. For all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ such that $|\alpha| \le m$ we have

$$|(D^\alpha f)(x)| \le q_{m,\nu}(f) e^{-\varphi_\nu^*(\|x\|)}. \tag{27}$$

Using the inequality (24) we have from (27) that

$$|(D^\alpha f)(x)| \le e^{a_\nu} q_{m,\nu}(f) e^{-\varphi_{\nu+1}^*(2|x|)}.$$

Obviously, there exists a constant $M_\nu > 1$ such that

$$|(D^\alpha f)(x)| \le M_\nu q_{m,\nu}(f) e^{-\varphi_{\nu+1}^*(\|x\|+1)}.$$

In other words,

$$|(D^\alpha f)(x)| \le M_\nu q_{m,\nu}(f) e^{-\varphi_{\nu+1}^*[e](\ln(\|x\|+1))}.$$

From this we have

$$|(D^\alpha f)(x)| \le M_\nu q_{m,\nu}(f) e^{-\sup\limits_{t>0}(t\ln(\|x\|+1)-(\varphi_{\nu+1}^*[e])^*(t))}.$$

Now using Lemma 7 we get

$$|(D^\alpha f)(x)| \le M_\nu q_{m,\nu}(f) e^{-\sup\limits_{t>0}(t\ln(e(|x|+1))-t\ln t+\psi_{\nu+1}^*(t))}.$$

Consequently, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|(D^\alpha f)(x)| \le M_\nu q_{m,\nu}(f) \frac{k^k e^{-\psi_{\nu+1}^*(k)}}{(e(1+\|x\|))^k}.$$

From this and (27) it follows that

$$(1+\|x\|)^k |(D^\alpha f)(x)| \le M_\nu q_{m,\nu}(f) k! e^{-\psi_{\nu+1}^*(k)},\ k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

This means that

$$\|f\|_{m,\psi_{\nu+1}^*} \le M_\nu q_{m,\nu}(f). \tag{28}$$

Since here $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is arbitrary then $f \in G(\psi_{\nu+1}^*)$. Hence, $f \in G(\Psi^*)$. From (28) it follows that the mapping I^{-1} is continuous. Thus, the spaces $G(\Psi^*)$ and $GS(\Phi^*)$ coincides.

Acknowledgements. The research of the first author was supported by grants from RFBR (14-01-00720, 14-01-97037).

References

[1] Gelfand I.M., Shilov G.E., *Generalized functions*, Vol. 2, Academic Press, New York, 1967.

[2] Gelfand I.M., Shilov G.E., *Generalized functions*, Vol. 3, Academic Press, New York, 1967.

[3] J. Chung, S.-Y. Chung, and D. Kim. *Characterizations of the Gelfand-Shilov spaces via Fourier transforms* // Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 1996. V. 124. N. 7. P. 2101–2108.

[4] J. Chung, S.-Y. Chung, and D. Kim. *Equivalence of the Gelfand-Shilov spaces* // Journal of Math. Anal. and Appl. 1996. V. 203. P. 828–839.

[5] Gurevich B.L., *New types of spaces of fundamental and generalized functions and Cauchy's problem for systems of finite difference equations*, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.), **99** (1954), 893–895.

[6] Gurevich B.L., *New types of fundamental and generalized spaces and Cauchy's problem for systems of difference equations involving differential operations*, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.), **108** (1956), 1001–1003.

[7] Musin I.Kh., Popenov S.V., *On a weighted space of infinitely differentiable functions in* $\mathbb{R}^n$, Ufa Mathematical Journal, **2** (2010), 54–62.

[8] Musin I.Kh., *Approximation by polynomials in a weighted space of infinitely differentiable functions with an application to hypercyclicity.* Extracta Mathematicae, **27** (2012), 75–90.

[9] Napalkov V.V., Popenov S.V.: On Laplace transformation on weighted Bergman space of entire functions on $\mathbb{C}^n$. Doklady Mathematics. **55**, 110–112 (1997)

[10] Roberts A.W., Varberg D.E., *Convex functions*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1973.